

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			·		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/539,010	06/15/2005	Hiroaki Yamada	Q88256	7398	
65565 SUGHRUE-26	7590 06/07/2007 5550		EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVE. NW			IMAS, VLADIMIR		
WASHINGTON, DC 20037-3213			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			2839		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/07/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/539,010	YAMADA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Vladimir Imas	2839		

	Vladimir Imas	2839	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>31 May 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice o ving replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid aba ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (box 1).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the maili	ng date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70		ILTINGT NEFET WAST	ILLD WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amoun shortened statutory period for reply ori than three months after the mailing d	t of the fee. The appropr ginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of the appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS	hukuuisuks kha daka af filias a bais	f will not be entared b	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo 	nsideration and/or search (see N0		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in betappeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		P 4 A	(DTOL 204)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al		timely filed amendme	ant canceling the
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if subtritted in a separate	, unicly lied amending	on canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-22</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nt before or on the date of filing a l d sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>no</u> avit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attacl	ned.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	•	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:		_	
		Pah_	
	TULSIDAS C. PA SUPERVISORY PATENT	TEL	
	_~, • ,	W WEIGHT PE	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicants argument that Prior Art of Akiyama fails to teach or suggest that the lock portion is disposed inwardly of an outermost wall surface of the cassette relay block is not persuasive as Akiyama in fig. 5, discloses lock portion 6 disposed inwardly of an outermost wall surface 6a.